UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/707,179	11/25/2003	Cin Kim	04394/0200136-US0	1178
7278 DARBY & DA	7590 10/18/200 RBY P.C.	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 770		MAI, TRI M		
Church Street S New York, NY		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3781	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/18/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application	Application No. Applicant(s)					
		10/707,179		KIM, CIN				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Tri M. Mai		3781				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
 A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 								
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a)⊠								
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-3,5,6 and 8-11 is/are pending in the	application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5,6 and 8-11</u> is/are rejected.							
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[_	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election req	uirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b)□	objected to by the E	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note	the attached Office	Action or form P	10-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5	Paper No(s)/Mail Da) Notice of Informal Pa					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:								

Art Unit: 3781

- 1. Claims 1-3, 5, 10, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Heynen (1662039). Heynen teaches a box a necktie, and a continuous elastic member 3 as claimed. With respect to the new limitation that "a necktie arranged within the box so as to rolled about itself" is not a positive recitation of the rolled position, and thus, does not impart any structural difference over the box in Heynen. In other words, the claimed necktie is set forth as "to be rolled", this limitation does not positively recite a rolled position. Thus, the necktie in Heynen can be rolled around member 3 as claimed. It is noted that claim 3 set forth the necktie in either rolled or folded position. Thus, this confirms that applicant intended the recitation with respect to the necktie as only an intended use.
- 2. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heynen in view of Lobner (1677146). Lobner teaches the position of a retaining strap being on a center line. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to position the strap at the centerline to provide the desired position for holding the neckties.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 3, 5, 10, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Louder (690864). Louder teaches a package having a necktie, a box and a movable top portion (lid, page 1, ln. 20), and an elastic member (portion 7) mounted at portions 10 in spaced locations. Applicant is noted that the term "elastic" is broad and encompassing "resilient member". Attention is directed to Sulentic (1501249) with the device in Fig. 4 is called "elastic" (pg. 1, ln. 33), and Corossman (1578807) (pg. 1, nl. 59). With respect to the necktie conceals the elastic member, the tie in Louder conceal the bottom portion of the elastic member as claimed. It is noted that this is similar to that of applicant wherein the necktie conceal the middle portion with the two sides of the elastic member being exposed.

Application/Control Number: 10/707,179

Art Unit: 3781

With respect to the new limitation of the necktie arranged within the box so as to be rolled about itself. It is noted that the position shown in Fig. 9 is the rolled position, i.e., the tie is flatly rolled about itself. Nowhere applicant asserts that the rolled position would result in a rounded bundle at all. With respect to the necktie being arranged to conceal the elastic member. It is noted that the disclosed device shown that the rolled necktie only conceal a portion of the elastic member and leaving the two side portions of portion 100 visible as shown in Fig. 5c. The device in Louder operates in the same manner where in it hides the middle portion 4 of the elastic clip while having the two side portions visible as shown in Fig. 9.

- 4. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Louder. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a resilient clip with fist and second spaced locations are on a centerline to provide additional clip at the desired location for the device.
- 5. Claims 1, 2, 3, 5, 10, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Levy (2057838) in view of Heynen. Levy teaches a box with a bottom and a device loop 19 for holding the necktie. Levy meets all claimed limitations except for the loop portion being made of elastic material. Heynen teaches that it is known in the art to provide an elastic material. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a loop portion of Levy from elastic material to provide an alternative device for holding the necktie.
- 6. Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over anyone of Heynen, Louder or Levy rejections as set forth above in paragraphs 1, 3, and 5 and further in view of Sanchez or Hallam. Sanchez teaches a fabric cover 72. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a fabric cover in Heynen to provide attractive color

Application/Control Number: 10/707,179

Art Unit: 3781

and/or for advertisement. With respect to the matching color with the tie. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a tie with matching color and patter to provide the desired aesthetic look. It is noted that matter relating to ornamentation only which have no mechanical function cannot be relied upon to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. In re Seid, 161 F.2d 229, 73 USPQ 431 (CCPA 1947).

7. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With respect to the Heynen rejection of claim 1, it is noted that the recitation "arranged within the box so as to be rolled about itself" is not a positive recitation of the tie in a rolled position at all. It is noted that claim 3 set forth the necktie in either rolled or folded position. Thus, this confirms that applicant intended the recitation with respect to the necktie can be arranged in various positions.

Applicant fails to provide reasons what is exactly missing from the Louder or Levy in view of Heynen combination. Thus, the rejections stand.

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 3781

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tri M. Mai whose telephone number is (571)272-4541. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Stashick can be reached on (571)272-4561. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Tri M. Mai
Primary Examin

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3781